

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,654	10/26/2001	Cheryl L. Neofytides	020375-000220US	1069	
20350 7550 10/20/2008 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			AKINTOLA, OLABODE		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/046,654	NEOFYTIDES ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	OLABODE AKINTOLA	3691					

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidav application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	rit, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods:	•
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the pelition under 37 CFR 1. have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply orig set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da- may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee sinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.376)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.376)), the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 AMENDMENTS.	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially re _ appeal; and/or	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s)	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a N because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appe showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i See Continuation Sheet.	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
# 1: ** 1/:-:/	
/Hani M. Kazimi/	Init 2601
Primary Examiner, Art U	ו פטכ זוווע

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Liebermann reference cannot be considered prior art under 35 U.S.C 102(e) because Liebermann (priority of 9/14/2000) was not filed before the invention of the present application. Specifically, Applicant claims priority to an earlier filed application 09/813,615 with priority date of 07/11/2000. Applicant further drew the Examiner's attention to U.S. Patent 7,376,587 that issued from 09/813,615 at col. 5, lines 39 through col. 6, lines 36, as providing support for the limitation 'transferring money from one account to another by debiting a customer's account and placing monies into a temporary account," and moving the money "from the temporary account to the account of the recipient."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant characterization of this portion of the 7,376,587 patent. Examiner notes that the instant applicant is a continuation-in-part of US Patent Application 09/613,615 (now US Patent 7,376,587). Examiner notes that the entire disclosure of 7,376,587 patent fails to teach the use of temporary stored value fund as explicitly described in the instant application (see page 9, lines 29-33, page 12, lines 4-7, and page 14, lines 1-3). Therefore, these limitations cannot benefit from the 711/2000 date. Therefore, the benefined in the page 12, lines 4-7, and page 14, lines 1-3). Therefore, these limitations, See MPEP 2133.01